Response ID ANON-ESDE-RYTH-8 Submitted to Early years funding formulae Submitted on 2022-09-16 15:44:18 Introduction What is your name? Name: Graham Waller What is your email address? graham.waller@stockton.gov.uk Are you responding as an individual or on behalf of an organisation? Organisation What is the name of your organisation? Organisation: Stockton-on-Tees Borough Council What type of organisation is this? Type of Organisation: **Local Authority** What local authority area are you or your organisation based in? Local Authority area: Stockton-on-Tees Would you like us to keep your responses confidential? Nο Reason for confidentiality (optional): EYNFF additional needs factor 1 Do you agree with our proposal to update the underlying data in the additional needs factor in the EYNFF? Yes Do you agree with our proposal to update the underlying data in the additional needs factor in the EYNFF?: 2 Do you agree with our proposal to move to using the free school meals headline measure? Yes

Do you agree with our proposal to move to using the free school meals headline measure?:

3 Do you agree with our proposal to update the way in which the Disability Living Allowance data is used?

Yes

Do you agree with our proposal to update the way in which the Disability Living Allowance data is used?:

# EYNFF area cost adjustment

4 Do you agree with our proposal to update the underlying data used in the area cost adjustment in the EYNFF, in particular the rateable values data and the GLM data, when available?

Yes

Do you agree with our proposal to update the underlying data used in the area cost adjustment in the EYNFF, in particular the rateable values data and the GLM data, when available?:

5 Do you agree with our proposed amendments to the proxy measure for premises related costs in the EYNFF, including introducing schools rateable values data?

Yes

Do you agree with our proposed amendments to the proxy measure for premises related costs in the EYNFF, including introducing schools rateable values data?:

#### Teachers' pay and pensions grants

6 Do you agree with our proposed approach to mainstreaming the early years element of the teachers' pay and pensions grants?

Yes

Do you agree with our proposed approach to mainstreaming the early years element of the teachers' pay and pensions grants?:

There needs to be further clarity around the proposals for changes to the quality supplement to ensure that this element of funding is fairly distributed. Mainstream schools and academies are aware of their existing funding levels regarding these grants and will want to see that they are still receiving (at least) the same level of funding. Setting it as a specific element of funding (lump sum or amount per pupil) could achieve this but what happens in future years?

7 Do you agree with our proposal to update the operational guide to encourage local authorities to take account of additional pressures that some providers might face using the existing quality supplement?

Yes

Do you agree with our proposal to update the operational guide to encourage local authorities to take account of additional pressures that some providers might face using the existing quality supplement?:

Directing further funding via supplements may cause some LA's issues adhering to the maximum of 10% allocated via supplements.

### 2-year-old funding formula

8 Do you agree with our proposal to update the underlying data in the area cost adjustment in the 2-year-old formula?

Yes

Do you agree with our proposal to update the underlying data in area cost adjustment in the 2-year-old formula?:

9 Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a proxy for premises related costs into the 2-year-old formula?

Yes

Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a proxy for premises related costs into the 2-year-old formula?:

### **Protections**

10 Do you agree with our proposed approach to protections in the EYNFF for 2023-24?

Yes

Do you agree with our proposed approach to protections in the EYNFF for 2023-24?:

11 Do you agree with our proposed approach to protections in the 2-year-old formula for 2023-24?

Yes

Do you agree with our proposed approach to protections in the 2-year-old formula for 2023-24?:

#### Reform of maintained nursery school supplementary funding

12 Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a minimum hourly funding rate and a cap on the hourly funding rate for MNS supplementary funding?

Unsure

Do you agree with our proposal to introduce a minimum hourly funding rate and a cap on the hourly funding rate for MNS supplementary funding?:

SBC does not have any MNS

13 Do you agree with our proposed approach to rolling the teachers' pay and pensions grants into MNS supplementary funding?

Unsure

Do you agree with our proposed approach to rolling the teachers' pay and pensions grants into MNS supplementary funding?:

SBC does not have any MNS

## **Equalities Impact Assessment**

14 Do you have any comments about the potential impact, both positive and negative, of our proposals on individuals on the basis of their protected characteristics? Where any negative impacts have been identified, do you know how these might be mitigated?

Do you have any comments about the potential impact, both positive and negative, of our proposals on individuals on the basis of their protected characteristics? Where any negative impacts have been identified, do you know how these might be mitigated?:

### Any other comments

15 Are there any other comments that you would like to make about our proposed reforms?

Are there any other comments that you would like to make about our proposed reforms?: